PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference X15050	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/US2004/038226	International filing date (day/month/year) 06 December 2004 (06.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17 December 2003 (17.12.2003)	•	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant ELI LILLY AND COMPANY				

1.	. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Вох №. П	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	rnational application	
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application	
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).			
Date of issuance of this report 20 June 2006 (20.06.2006)				
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes		ombettes	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac	
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Tel-		, resortand	Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 80	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From t	the RNATIONAL SEAR	CHING AUTHO	RITY		REC'D 28 APR 2005
To:					PCTMPO
					PCT
	see form P	CT/ISA/220	+ +	INTERNATION	EN OPINION OF THE AL SEARCHING AUTHORITY CT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see	form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Appl	icant's or agent's file r	reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION	
	form PCT/ISA/22			See paragraph 2 belov	
International application No. International filing date PCT/US2004/038226 06.12.2004		(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17.12.2003		
Inter	mational Patent Class	ification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC	
CO	7C233/80, C07D2	13/75, A61K3	1/165, A61K31/44, A	61P25/06	
App	licant				
	LILLY AND CON	//PANY			
L.					
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the op	oinion		
	☐ Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability
ļ	□ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	of invention		U. I
	☑ Box No. V	Reasoned sta applicability; o	tement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanatio	ois.1(a)(i) with regard to ns supporting such stat	novelty, inventive step or industrial tement
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum			
	☐ Box No. VII		ts in the international ap		
	☑ Box No. VIII	Certain obser	vations on the internation	onal application	
2.					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.			HIIS. DEIDIE LIE EXDITATION OF THE	
	For further optic	ons, see Form F	PCT/ISA/220.		
з.	For further deta	ils, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.		

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Fitz, W

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4359



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/038226

	5. 11	1. Parts of the southing		
	Box No			
1.	. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search ider Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2.	With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:		
	a. type of material:			
		a sequence listing		
		table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	b. form	at of material:		
		in written format		
		in computer readable form		
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:		
		contained in the international application as filed.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3	h.	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.		
4	. Additi	onal comments:		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/038226

	No. III Non-establishment of licability	opli	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial	
The obv	questions whether the claimed i ious), or to be industrially applica	nven ible h	tion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non lave not been examined in respect of:	
	the entire international application,			
⊠	claims Nos. 9-14 with respect to industrial applicability			
bec	ause:			
\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 9-14 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	see separate sheet			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C- <i>bis</i> of the Administrative Instructions.	
	See separate sheet for further	detai	ls	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/038226

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-27

No: Claims

1-27

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-27

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-8,15-27

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/038226

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 9-14 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: WO 98/55115 A

1.) The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and shows 5-HT1F agonists that are useful for the treatment of migraine. The compounds proposed in present claim 1 differ from the known compounds of D1 in that they have a cyclohexenyl-phenyl core (whereas the compounds of D1 have a tetrahydrocarbazole core.

Accordingly, the subject-matter of claims 1-27 is new (Article 33(2) PCT).

2.) The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as the provision of further 5-HT1F agonists for the treatment of migraine.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT), because replacing a tricyclic carbazole moiety with the bicyclic cyclohexenyl-phenyl moiety of the present compounds is not an obvious measure. D1 alone, or in combination with another document of the prior art would not direct the skilled person towards compounds with a cyclohexenyl-phenyl core. Accordingly, the subject-matter of claims 1-27 is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

3.) For the assessment of the present claims 9-14 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/038226

patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

With respect to claims 1-8 and 15-27 there are no objections with respect to industrial applicability.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 1.) The vague and imprecise statement in the description on page 5, lines 15-20 implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them.
- 2.) The expression "use according to claim 26" that is used in claim 25 appears to be erroneous with respect to the claim number, Article 6 PCT.